Academic Profile, 4:1

Academic success predictors, 5:12-13

Accountability, state-mandated, 4:8, 5:4-5

Administrative processes improvement, 2:4, 3:8

Admissions tests, 5:12

Admitted Student Questionnaire (ASQ), 4:6

American Association for Higher Education (AAHE), 1:3, 1:8, 2:5, 6:11

American College Test (ACT) instruments, 2:9, 3:12, 3:14, 4:9, 5:12, 5:13

Anderson, V., 6:8, 6:11, 6:13

Angelo, T. A., 1:4, 6:1, 6:12

Applications Card, 6:12

Articulation program, 1:15

Asheville-Buncombe Technical Community

College (A-B Tech), 5:1-2, 5:7

Assessment and Program Evaluation: An ASHE Reader, 2:7

Assessment in Christian Higher Education: Rhetoric and Reality, 2:7

Assessment in Practice: Putting Principles to Work on College Campuses, 5:3

Assessment measures, 1:9, 3:9, 3:14-15, 4:6-7, 4:10-11, 5:14-15

Assessment of Reasoning and Communication,

Associate Degree Nursing Survey, 3:11

Association for the Study of Higher Education,

Association of American Colleges, 6:16

Audits, 2:2, 2:10

Austin Peay State University, 4:9

Babson College, 3:3, 3:8

Background Knowledge Probe, 6:12

Banta, T. W., 2:3, 2:7, 3:3, 3:13, 4:9, 5:3, 6:15

Bean, J. P., 3:9, 3:13

Belcher, D., 2:4

Benchmarking, 3:3, 3:8

Borden, V.M.H., 3:13

Boyer, E., 1:13

Brainstorming, 3:4

Bruick-Sorge, C., 3:11

Campus Profiles: Indiana University Bloomington, 6:14-15; St. Cloud State University, 5:10-11, 5:15; Sinclair Community College, 3:14-15; Western Carolina University, 2:8-9

Campus Strategies, 2:13; assessment of student academic achievement in an associate degree nursing program, 3:11; first-year student adjustment study, 6:10; linking assessment to first-year student retention, 5:6-7; user-friendly portfolio assessment, 2:15

Carver, C. A., 6:3, 6:13

Case discussion technique, 1:3, 1:7, 1:16

Cerbin, W., 1:4

Chandler, J. V., 3:10, 3:13

Changing Context of Quality Assessment: Recent Trends in West European Higher Education, 4:7

Chase, C. I., 4:7

Chicago State University, 5:6-7 Christian College Coalition, 5:16

Church-related higher education, 5:16

Clagett, C. A., 4:10

Classroom Assessment (CA): connecting of, to department/institution, 6:13; connecting of, to learning research, 6:12-13; Continuous Quality Improvement approach to, 3:3; faculty involvement in, 6:1-2; impact studies on, 6:2, 6:12; improving the effectiveness of, 6:13

Classroom Assessment Techniques (CATs), 1:4; electronic, 6:3; for group process improvement, 6:4-5; linked with learning research, 6:12-13

Collaborative Articulation and Assessment Project (CAAP), 1:15

Collaborative assessment, student-teacher, 3:3, 3:6

Collection of Papers on Self-Study and Institutional Improvement, A, 4:7

College Outcome Measures Project, 3:12, 4:9 Collegiate Assessment of Academic Proficiencv. 3:12

Colorado, 5:4-5

Communities: critical, 1:6; of reflective practice, 1:3, 3:6

Community College Strategies: assessment of noncredit programs and courses, 2:12-13; outcomes typology, 4:10-11; systems-level approaches to core effectiveness indicators, 6:6-7

Community colleges: effectiveness indicators of, 5:3, 5:5, 6:6-7; employer needs assessment and, 5:1-2, 5:3, 5:7; noncredit programming assessment in, 2:12-13; outcomes typology for, 4:10-11; responsiveness of, 5:3, 5:5; Sinclair comprehensive assessment model, 3:14-15; student tracking system in, 5:3

Community service programs, 2:3, 2:12-13

Computer placement tests, 3:14, 5:13 Computer-adaptive testing, 3:14

Concept Maps, 6:12

Continuing education, noncredit, 2:3, 2:12–13 Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI), 3:3, 3:4-5, 3:8

Cooperative Institutional Research Program, 3:12

Course assessment/improvement, 2:12-13,

Course development, student-teacher, 3:3, 3:6

Course ratings, aggregated, 4:1-2, 4:5

Cress, D., 3:3, 3:6

Criteria checksheets, 6:9

Cross, K. P., 1:4, 6:2, 6:12

Culver, S. M., 4:3

Cunningham, S., 5:4

Developing and Using Tests Effectively: A Guide for Faculty, 4:7

Diagnostic Learning Log, 6:12

Dropout research, 5:14-15

Early assessment program, 1:15

Eastern Iowa Community College District, 5:3

Eastern Michigan University, 1:3

Economic impact studies, 2:13

Effectiveness indicators, 5:3, 5:5, 6:6-7. See also Performance indicators

Electronic assessment, 6:3

Empire State College, 4:9

Employer needs assessment, 5:1-2, 5:3, 5:7

Employer satisfaction surveys, 3:14, 3:15

Enrollment management research, 3:9, 3:13,

Entry-level placement analysis (ELPA), 5:12-13 Ewell, P. T., 1:14, 4:4, 4:6, 6:16

Exams, 3:5, 3:6

Exit interviews, 3:10, 3:13

Faculty: committees/focus groups, 2:8-9; development for grading processes, 6:8-9, 6:11; formative assessment and, 2:6-7; knowledge of, 1:2

Fairleigh Dickinson University, 3:12

Federal oversight, 4:8

Financial aid program, 5:6

Fishbone (Ishikawa) diagram, 3:4

Focus groups, 2:8-9, 2:13, 5:1-2, 5:3, 5:7

Formative assessment, 2:6-7, 3:7

France, 2:2, 2:10

Friedman, S. J., 5:8

Fuhrmann, B. S., 6:10

Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education (FIPSE) supported projects, 1:7, 1:15, 2:16, 3:16, 4:12, 5:16

Gandolfo, A., 2:6, 6:3, 6:13

Gardner, L. L., 3:3, 3:4

General education assessment: aggregated student course ratings for, 4:1-2, 4:5; comprehensive programs for, 2:8-9, 5:10-11, 5:15; critical thinking assessment and, 6:14-15; mathematics in, 5:10-11, 5:15; quantitative skills and, 2:14; student evaluation of, 3:12; user-friendly portfolio system, 2:15

Gibson-Groshon, S. S., 2:15 Goal Matching and Ranking, 6:12

Grading, 2:14, 6:8-9, 6:11

Graduation rates, 1:14-15, 4:10

Gray, P. J., 2:8, 3:14, 6:14

Griffith, J., 3:1, 3:3

Group Informal Feedback on Teaching, 6:12-13 Group learning, 1:5-6, 6:4-5

Group Process Assessment Technique (GPAT), 6:4-5

Groupwork Evaluation, 6:13

Hall, D. L., 3:3

High school rankings, 5:12 Holt, D., 4:1

Horne, B., 3:10, 3:13

Hossler, D., 3:9, 3:13

Humphreys, W. L., 1:10

Hutchings, P., 1:1 Indiana University Bloomington (IUB), 6:14-15

Indiana University Student Performance Measure, 6:14-15

Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne (IPFW), 3:11

Institutional assessment/improvement, 5:4-5,

5:6-7.6:13 Institutional research offices, 2:6-7

Instructional Development and Effectiveness Assessment (IDEA), 4:1-2, 4:5

Interest checklists, 3:7

Jacobs, L. C., 4:7

Janzow, F., 4:1 Portugal, 2:11 Student learning assessment/improvement: in Johnson County Community College, 2:12 Postsecondary Assessment Network, 4:4 community colleges, 4:10-11; Continuous Keith, P., 5:10 Prairie View A & M University, 4:12 Quality Improvement and, 3:4-5; course port-Keith, S. Z., 2:14, 5:10 Primary Trait Assessment (PTA), 6:9, 6:11 folios and, 1:4-6; critical thinking assessment Knowledge checklists, 3:3, 3:7 Problem-based teaching, 1:5-6 and, 6:14-15; for degree-seeking students, Kramp, M. K., 1:10 Proceedings of the Sixth International Confer-3:14, 3:15; grading and, 6:8-9; in large class-Lamwers, L., 5:10 ence on Assessing Quality in Higher Educaes, 3:1-2, 3:7; narratives and, 1:10-12; in nurs-Lander University, 3:10, 3:13 tion, 2:7 ing program, 3:11; prior knowledge obstacle Lane Community College, 5:3 Process improvement, 3:1-2, 3:3, 3:4-5, 3:7, 3:8 and, 1:5-6; reflective practice and, 1:3, Large class assessment, 3:1-2, 3:3, 3:7 Productive Study Time Logs, 6:13 1:11-12; self-assessment techniques and, LEARN, 3:5 Program assessment/improvement, 3:10, 5:3, 1:10-13; Sinclair model of, 3:14-15; teaching Learning communities, 1:3, 3:6 improvement and, 1:4-6, 1:12, 3:3, 3:13, 4:9, Learning research, 6:12-13 Punctuated Lecture, 6:12 6:14; Total Quality Management approach to, Lee, J. D., 2:7 Quality assessment, in Western Europe, 2:1-2, 3:1-2, 3:7. See also Classroom Assessment Licensure: computer-adapted examinations for, 2:3, 2:10-11. See also Continuous Quality Student Right-to-Know (SRK), 1:14, 4:8, 4:10 3:16; pass rate standards, 1:14; renewal rates, Improvement; Total Quality Management Student Satisfaction Inventory (SSI), Noel-2:13 Quantitative literacy assessment, 2:14-15 Levitz, 1:9 Lipscomb, D., 5:6 Ratcliff, J. L., 4:4 Student tracking, 3:15, 5:3 Loyola College, Maryland, 6:9 Reflective practice, 1:1-3, 1:13; case discussion Surveys: of admitted students, 4:6-7; aggregat-McLure, J., 3:1, 3:3 and, 1:3, 1:7, 1:16; course portfolios and, ed student course, 4:1-2, 4:5; employer satis-Major field assessment, 2:9 1:4-6; for student self-assessment, 1:11-12; faction, 3:14, 3:15, 5:1; freshman, 5:14-15, Making a Difference: Outcomes of a Decade of tools of, 3:1-2 6:10; freshman withdrawing, 5:6-7; in-class, Assessment in Higher Education, 5:3, 6:16 Registration process improvement, 3:8 3:4, 3:5; institutional, 3:12; in nursing pro-Marietta College, 3:3, 3:6 Regulatory relief, 4:8 gram, 3:11 Marriott Corporation, 3:3, 3:8 Teaching Goals Inventory, 6:13 Reports, evaluation of assessment, 5:8-9 Mathematics, 5:10-11, 5:15 Resources on assessment, 2:7, 3:13, 4:7, 6:16 Teaching improvement: case discussion and, Medical licensure examinations, 3:16 Responsibility-centered management (RCM), 1:3, 1:7, 1:16; course portfolios and, 1:4-6; Midlands Technical College, 5:5 6:15 formative assessment and, 2:6-7; reflective Miller, S., 2:15 Retention, 5:6-7, 5:14-15 practice and, 1:1-3, 1:12, 1:13; and student Minute papers, 2:13, 3:7, 6:3, 6:12 Revision, assessment-based, 3:3, 3:7 assessment, 3:3, 3:13, 4:9, 6:14 Missouri performance funding initiative, 2:16 Ridley, D. R., 4:3 Team-building model, 6:4-5 Morris, S. M., 5:1, 5:3 Robinson, S., 5:12 Testing, linking assessment with, 4:9 Narratives, 1:10-12 Rooney, P. M., 3:3, 3:8 Thomas, A. M., 2:7 National Center for Education Statistics St. Cloud State University, 5:10-11, 5:15 Total Quality Management (TQM), 2:4, 3:1-2, (NCES), 4:4-5 St. Mary's University, 3:3, 3:12 3:3, 3:6, 3:7. See also Continuous Quality Im-National Center for Higher Education Manage-Samford University, 2:3, 2:4 provement ment Systems (NCHEMS), 4:4, 4:5 Schmid, K., 5:10 Towson State University, 2:15 National Center on Postsecondary Teaching, Scholarship, assessment of, 1:13 Tuition/fees standards, 1:14 Learning, and Assessment (NCTLA), 4:4-5 Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT), 5:12 United Kingdom, 2:1, 2:10 National Education Goals 5 and 6, 4:4-5 Seating charts, 3:1-2, 3:3 United States Military Academy (USMA), 6:3 Netherlands, 2:10, 2:11 Self-assessment: student, 1:10-12; teacher, University of Indianapolis, 3:3, 3:4-5 Nettles, M. T., 4:7 1:1-3, 1:4-6 University of Iowa, 3:1-2, 3:7 New Jersey Department of Higher Education, 4:8 Seppanen, L., 6:6 University of Missouri-Columbia (MU), 4:6-7, New Life for the College Curriculum: Assess-Service mapping, 2:4 5:14-15 ing Achievements and Furthering Progress in Seybert, J. A., 2:12, 5:3 University of North Texas, 3:16 the Reform of General Education, 6:15 Shaw, P. G., 3:3, 3:8 University of Wisconsin (UW)-Whitewater, New York State, 1:14 Shulman, L., 1:3, 1:7, 1:16 5:8-9 North Central Association of Colleges and Silverman, R., 1:7 Using Performance Indicators to Guide Strate-Schools, 4:7, 5:8 Sinclair Community College, 3:14 gic Decision Making, 3:13 Northeast Missouri State University (NMSU), Site visits, 2:2, 2:10 van Vught, F. A., 2:1, 2:3 3:12, 3:13 Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU), Southeast Missouri State University, 4:1-2, 4:5 Nursing program, 3:11 Stark, J. S., 2:7 Occupational placement rate standards, 1:14 Virginia Union University, 4:9 State Postsecondary Review Entities (SPREs), Ohia, U.O., 4:9 1:14-15, 4:8 Walker, C. J., 6:4, 6:13 Ohio State University, 1:15 State University of New York, Fredonia, 4:9 Walvoord, B. E., 6:8, 6:11, 6:13 Oklahoma State University (OSU), 5:12-13 State-level assessment mandates, 1:14-15, 4:8. Warner, A. M., 3:3, 3:6 Peacock, D. E., 3:12, 3:13 4:12, 5:4-5, 6:6-7; states' responses to, 6:6-7 Weitzel, J., 3:1, 3:3 Pedagogy of practice, 1:16 Steadman, M. H. 6:1-2, 6:12 Welty, W. M., 1:7 Peer review, 1:3, 2:3, 2:10 Strategic Management of College Enrollments, West Virginia University (WVU), 2:3, 2:6-7

The, 3:13, 3:19

Strickland, B. J., 2:4

Stronks, G. G., 2:7

3:13

Strong Foundations: Twelve Principles for Ef-

Student Advisory Group on Assessment, 3:12,

fective General Education Programs, 6:16

Westerheijden, D. F., 2:1, 2:3, 4:7

Withdrawal rate standards, 1:14

2:3, 2:10-11

Western Carolina University (WCU), 2:3,

Western Europe, quality assessment in, 2:1-2,

Writing Across the Curriculum (WAC), 2:14

Performance: -based assessment system, 4:12;

Physical education department assessment, 3:10

Portfolios: course, 1:4-6; student, 2:15, 3:6;

See also Effectiveness indicators

Pike, G. R., 1:9, 3:9, 4:6 5:14

teaching, 1:3-4

funding, 2:16, 4:8; indicators, 3:13, 4:12, 5:4.

